

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/044,563	01/11/2002	Virgilio A. Fernandez	SC11593ZC	9564		
23125	7590 11/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FREESCA LAW DEPA	LE SEMICONDUCTO	DU, THUAN N				
	PARMER LANE MD:T	X32/PL02	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, T	X 78729		2116			
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/20/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/20/2004		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			44,563	FERNANDEZ	FT AI				
		Exan		Art Unit					
			n N. Du	2116					
The MAIL	ING DATE of this commun			1	e address				
Period for Reply									
THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply  - If NO period for reply  - Failure to reply within  Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD F ATE OF THIS COMMUN ay be available under the provisions S from the mailing date of this comr specified above is less than thirty (3 is specified above, the maximum st the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. O) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply will. by statute, cause the	no event, however, may ne statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) Mi	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered ONTHS from the mailing date of the	his communication.				
Status									
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) file	ed on 11 January	2002	•					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this	<u></u>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clain	ns								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
_	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-15</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-11</u> is/are rejected.								
	☑ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s)	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)∐ The oath or	declaration is objected to	by the Examine	r. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form	PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119			•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>									
	ched detailed Office actio			ot received:					
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Reference	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 048)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			Informal Patent Application (	PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: IDS (dated 6/24/03).
- 2. Claims 1-15 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whetsel (U.S. Patent No. 6,073,254). U.S. Patent No. 6,073,254 was submitted by applicant on 6/24/03.
- 5. Regarding claims 1 and 8, Whetsel teaches a circuit comprising:
  control logic (TLM TAP CTL 31) receiving a plurality of control signals (SEL1-4), a
  reference clock signal (TCK) and a trigger signal (TMS) and generating a plurality of pass
  through control signals (EN1-4) [Fig. 3; col. 4, lines 27-65]; and

a plurality of logic gates (TAP1-4), each receiving one of the plurality of pass through control signals (EN1-4) and an input enable signal (TMS) and generating an output enable signal (ENABLE 1,2,3,4) when activated [Fig. 2; col. 3, line 55 to col. 4, line 20].

Application/Control Number: 10/044,563

Art Unit: 2116

Whetsel does not explicitly teach that the enable signal outputted from the logic gate

equivalent in logical value to the enable signal inputted to the logic gate.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that

the enable signal only outputted (logic high) when the logic gate is active; and the logic gate is

only at run state when it receives enable signal from the control logic (the input enable signal is

at logic high). Therefore, the output enable signal equivalent in logical value to the input enable

signal when the logic gate is activated.

6. Regarding claim 2, Whetsel teaches that the control logic receives a mode control signal

[col. 1, lines 21-26].

7. Regarding claims 3 and 5-7, Whetsel teaches that the logic gates are activated

sequentially [col. 2, lines 65-67].

8. Regarding claims 9-11, since they recite method of operating of the apparatus defined in

the apparatus claims, they are rejected accordingly based on the rejection of the apparatus claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

10. Claims 12-15 allowed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/044,563

Art Unit: 2116

Conclusion

Page 4

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (571) 272-3673. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday-Friday: 10:00 AM - 8:30 PM.

EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670.

Central TC telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

The fax number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Thuan N. Du

Mman

November 27, 2004